Scale Matters in Policy Flows: A Comparative Case Study of Sustainability in K-12 Education

The Challenge: In federated education settings such as Canada, schools operate under school, regional, provincial/territorial, national, and international policy directives. Relatively little attention has been paid to how policy flows in multiple directions across such scales, including how local priorities can work their way into policy at various levels. To address this gap, SEPN examined the complex dynamics of how policy flows amongst international, national, state, and sub-state levels.

Main Findings: SEPN found each level of policy is important in making it more likely there will be policy at subsequent ‘lower’ levels and that broader non-educational sustainability policy can play an important role in influencing sustainability uptake within the education system.

Take Action: SEPN’s findings point to the importance of inclusion of sustainability in policy at sub-national scales, including in broader non-educational government policy. Policy actors working to improve sustainability uptake within the education system should aim to include sustainability at all levels of the education system using a whole institution approach, and should consider engaging with municipal and regional leadership outside of the education system to enhance sustainability uptake in education policy.


To cite this research brief; McKenzie, M., Aikens, K., & Chopin, N.S. (2017). Scale Matters in Policy Flows: A Comparative Case Study of Sustainability in K-12 Education. Sustainability and Education Policy Network, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada.

Tracing Policy Flows through a Comparative Case Study Approach

SEPN conducted a comparative case study in 6 provinces, 10 school divisions (SDs), and 20 K-12 schools, each with a range of characteristics. A total of 150 interviews, 43 focus groups, and 350 mini ‘sidewalk interviews’ were collected with participants at the ministry, SD, and school levels. Policy documents were collected. SEPN used critical policy analysis to examine how policy flows including: (1) vertically across international, national, and sub-national levels; and (2) horizontally, for example via relationships between provinces.

International and National Flows: The Dominance of the Education for Sustainable Development Frame

SEPN documented shifts in dominant terminology related to United Nations (UN) initiatives, highlighting the significance of the UN in framing sustainability in education globally. Specifically, SEPN found shifts from ‘environment’ to ‘sustainable development,’ as well as to ‘sustainability.’ Recent shifts to ‘climate change’ and ‘global competencies’ were also found.

At the national level, SEPN’s interview data suggested the Council of Ministers of Education of Canada (CMEC) had a strong focus on ESD during research, which led to ESD working groups within CMEC and many provinces. These relationships appear to have fostered considerable uptake of the ESD framing vertically among UNESCO, CMEC, and in the province of Manitoba in particular.

SEPN also found that while international mandates have had a large impact on the shape and scope of sustainability engagement in Canada, local priorities have played a role in shaping policy framings in some cases. SEPN found a lack of ESD framings in some provinces and territories, due to resistance in some cases (e.g., in Ontario, in which the term ‘environmental education’ predominated) and in others due to isolation from policy flows or engagement with other priorities or framings (i.e., in Nunavut where Indigenous land-based knowledge was key).

Non-Educational Policy at Provincial, Regional, and Municipal Levels Influences Sustainability Uptake in the Education System

All provinces and territories had ministry of education policies addressing sustainability and most (British Columbia, Manitoba, Ontario, Quebec, and Nunavut) had overarching governmental policies with sustainability-related legal requirements. SEPN found broader provincial policy had a supportive or reciprocal relationship with policy at the ministry level: 4 of the 6 provinces with broader environment-specific provincial acts had higher sustainability uptake at the provincial ministry of education level, as well as higher uptake SDs.

Municipal or regional policies outside of education also influenced policy at the SD level. In 2 of 3 urban SDs with sustainability policies, administrators referenced municipal or regional policies as influencing sustainability uptake (e.g., Vancouver’s ‘greenest city’ municipal initiative impacted Vancouver School Board’s sustainability commitments). In 3 rural regions, school staff, SD staff, and community members described a lack of municipal leadership as a barrier, suggesting local economic concerns often outweighed sustainability.
Provincial and Ministry Engagement with Sustainability has an Impact on School Division Engagement

In SDs and schools with sustainability policies, there also tended to be policy engagement at the ministry level. Of the 10 SDs studied, 4 had policies supporting sustainability integration into planning and operations—all 4 of those SDs were in medium or high uptake ministry of education contexts. In all but one case, SD documents acknowledged ministry or broader provincial policies.

SEPN found most ministries of education focused on curriculum and most SDs focused on operations; however, 3 ministries of education (Manitoba, British Columbia, Ontario) used a 'whole institution' framing, which includes incorporating sustainability in the domains of governance, research, curriculum, operations, and community engagement. SEPN observed mutually reinforcing relationships between ministry and SD policy with respect to whole-institution domains; if sustainability was included in a particular policy domain at both the ministry and SD levels, participants were more positive about policy in that domain, despite the domain having the same uptake level as other provinces.

SEPN also observed a policy import stop in some SDs where there was little sustainability engagement at the SD level despite considerable engagement at ministry of education and broader provincial levels. The data suggested lack of motivation to include new priorities and lack of resources were the primary barriers in these cases.

School Division Leadership Supports School Sustainability Uptake

Five of the 20 schools in the study had formalized school plans and/or guideline documents that integrated sustainability into school priorities. In 3 cases where sustainability was articulated as a core focus for a school, administrators and staff pointed to SD leadership as playing a significant role in school level sustainability uptake.

Take Action

SEPN’s findings suggest local level policy may develop in different ways from national and global policy mandates, sometimes flowing up to influence broader policy discourses or approaches.

Recommendation #1: Incorporate Sustainability in Education Policy at All Levels, Using a Whole Institution Approach

- **Challenge:** In the context of increasing centralization of educational administration at the SD level, this study suggests local level policy plays an important role in achieving or surpassing state-level policy aims. SEPN also found engagement with sustainability in education policy was often limited to curriculum or operations, with gaps in governance, research, and community engagement domains.

- **Action:** Policy actors should work to incorporate sustainability at all levels and in all domains within the education system in order to ensure sustainability uptake is maximized.

Recommendation #2: Engage with Non-educational Policy to Improve Sustainability Uptake in the Education System

- **Challenge:** SEPN’s findings suggest overarching provincial sustainability-related legal requirements can bolster sustainability uptake in the education system (e.g., emissions reductions required as part of broader government climate change initiatives).

- **Action:** Policy actors working within the education system could better engage with municipal and regional leadership to incorporate sustainability in broader government policy as a way of pushing forward education-based sustainability initiatives. Municipal and regional leaders should consider enacting general sustainability-related policy as a means of increasing sustainability uptake within the education system.
The Challenge: Policy research in education has typically taken the form of qualitative small-scale, non-comparative case studies; however, large-scale quantitative data often provides better support for policy decision-making. SEPN’s national survey is the first cross-Canadian empirical quantitative exploration of influences on sustainability uptake in education policy development.

Main Findings: Participants perceived school divisions, existing school and school division policies, school administrators, and sustainability coordinators to be most influential in sustainability uptake in education policy development—versus ministries of education and provinces. Apathetic attitudes and resources were the main barriers to sustainability uptake in policy development.

Take Action: SEPN’s findings suggest ministries of education could be better engaging schools and school divisions during policy development. The results also point to common facilitators and barriers encountered during policy development, for which policy actors working to increase sustainability uptake in education policy could plan for during the policy development process.

For full results see: Chopin, N.S., McKenzie, M., Haluza-DeLay, R., & MacDonald, R. (Forthcoming). The influences on sustainability uptake in K-12 education policy development: A national survey of educators, administrators, and staff.
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Sustainability Uptake in K-12 Education Policy Development

SEPN’s online survey captured on-the-ground experiences with sustainability in education policy in the Canadian K-12 formal education system. This portion of the research examined the influences and roles of actors, other policies, community-specific factors, networks, media, and resources in the development of educational policies that incorporate sustainability.

SEPN used a combination of purposive and convenience sampling with quotas established for proportional representation by province. Recruitment for the convenience sample was via listservs, newsletters, website postings, social media, teacher federations, specialists’ associations, unions, and non-profit organizations, including SEPN’s partners.

A total of 206 participants, including 121 teachers, 18 school staff and administrators, 41 school division (SD) staff and administrators, 7 sustainability/facilities staff, 1 ministry of education staff, and 18 other staff answered the survey. Participants were required to be at least “somewhat” familiar with how policies that address sustainability were developed in their K-12 work setting.

Questionnaire and Analysis

The survey examined various influences on sustainability uptake in policy development in the Canadian K-12 education system via a series of matrix-format likert-style questions using a 4-point scale of “not at all,” “to some extent,” “to a moderate extent,” and “to a large extent.” SEPN calculated Influence Index Scores (IIS) using weighted averages for individual survey questions, as well as an average IIS for each influence category (i.e., policy actors, other policies, networks, media). Index scores ranged from 0 (non-influential) to 4 (very influential).

One check-all-that-apply question examined the influence of place-based factors, for which SEPN calculated the percent response.

Finally, two qualitative questions asked about drivers and barriers to policy development, which were coded into themes and analyzed in relation to the quantitative data.

Influence Index Scores

SEPN found that policy actors and other policies were viewed as having the most influence on sustainability uptake in K-12 formal education policy development overall. Media and networks emerged as moderate influencers of sustainability uptake in policy development.

Overall, when looking at individual survey questions, the top five influencers of sustainability in education policy development were perceived by participants to be school divisions (IIS = 3.11), existing work setting policies (IIS = 3.03), existing SD policies (IIS = 3.02), school administrators (IIS = 2.94), and sustainability coordinators (IIS = 2.89).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence Category</th>
<th>Individual Survey Questions Explored</th>
<th>Average IIS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy Actors</td>
<td>School division, school administrator, sustainability coordinator, ministry of education, teachers, facilities staff, students, families, the public</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Policies</td>
<td>Work setting, school division, municipal, provincial, other local, national, international</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>Web-based resources, social media, print news, TV/film, scholarly publications, radio</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>Local, conferences, professional associations, national, international</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted to correct for geographic representation
**Actors as Drivers and Barriers to Sustainability Policy Development**

The top-ranked policy actors identified as being most influential on sustainability uptake in policy development were SDs (IIS = 3.11), school administrators (IIS = 2.92), and sustainability coordinators (IIS = 2.89). In the qualitative data, the most frequently referenced drivers were teachers, students, and SDs—ministries of education were not frequently mentioned as drivers, nor were provincial or federal levels of government. **Actors were also the second-most referenced barrier in the qualitative data,** with participants referencing “apathy” and a “lack of leadership” at all levels of the education system.

**Existing Policies and Mandates Influence Policy Development**

When asked about the role of other policies in supporting sustainability uptake in education policy development, participants indicated existing policies within their work setting (IIS = 3.03) and SD-level policies (IIS = 3.02) were most influential—higher than provincial policies (IIS = 2.68). In the qualitative data, participants commonly referenced competing priorities as barriers. For example, one participant noted, “sustainability may not be seen as the priority issue.”

**Community Influences are Important Drivers of Sustainability Uptake**

Participants indicated supportive social values (66%), community expectations (58%), and community programs (57%) as being influential in policy development. The qualitative data referenced public pressure as well as local support from individuals within the education system and the surrounding community as drivers.

**Resources as the Primary Barrier to Policy Development**

Resource deficits emerged in the qualitative data as the most commonly and clearly identified barrier, with approximately half of comments referring to financial and human resources as barriers to sustainability uptake in policy development.

---

**Take Action**

SEPN’s survey provides policy actors working to incorporate sustainability in education policy with information about the types of actors, policies, networks, and community factors that may drive or hinder sustainability uptake during the policy development process.

**Recommendation #1: Ministries of Education Should Better Engage School Divisions and Schools in Policy Development**

- **Challenge:** Ministries of education play a central role in education policy development and are the main resource allocator in Canada’s K-12 system. However, SEPN’s survey suggests individuals working at the school and SD levels perceive schools and SDs to be the primary driver of policy development in those contexts—indicating a potential disconnect from ministry of education policy processes.

  - **Action:** Policy actors working within ministries of education should consider ways to meaningfully engage with policy actors at the school and school division levels when developing policy to ensure broad support for policies being developed.

**Recommendation #2: Take Advantage of Common Drivers and Attend to Common Barriers during Policy Development**

- **Challenge:** Policy making in education is a complex process, requiring policy actors to balance competing priorities all vying for limited resources. SEPN’s survey identified common barriers and facilitators that impact sustainability uptake in policy development.

  - **Action:** SEPN’s findings suggest local support within schools, SDs, and communities can play a key role in driving sustainability uptake in education policy. The survey also found policy actors often encounter apathetic attitudes and resource deficits when developing policy. Policy actors should capitalize on common drivers, and plan for likely barriers developing and enacting new policies within the education system.
The Challenge: Previously, there was no comparative research examining how the Canadian formal education sector is taking up the challenge of climate change, or what kinds of educational solutions are included in climate policies. SEPN examined the depth of engagement with climate change in education policy across all 13 provinces and territories in Canada by analyzing the content of 13 climate policies and 90 education policies.

Main Findings: SEPN found that while climate policies often reference the education sector’s role in combating climate change, education policies have not taken up the challenge. Education policies demonstrate (1) shallow engagement with climate change, (2) an overwhelming focus on energy efficiency upgrades in schools, and (3) a lack of holistic responses to climate change.

Take Action: Ministries of education must further address climate change in educational policy. Policy responses to climate change should be comprehensive and holistic. Policymakers should refer to whole school approaches to encourage sustainability uptake in governance, curriculum, research, and community outreach, in addition to operations.


Climate Change in Education Policy vs. Education in Climate Policy
SEPN collected (1) the most recent publicly available climate policies (typically Climate Action Plans) from all 13 provinces and territories and (2) 90 sustainability-specific education policies from all 13 ministries of education (including sustainability focused curriculum resources, curriculum frameworks, and subject curriculum frameworks).

By the Numbers: The Climate Education Engagement Scale (CEES)
SEPN developed the Climate Education Engagement Scale (CEES) to evaluate engagement with climate education in policy texts, which enabled both sets of policy documents to be directly compared. The CEES scoring criteria were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No mention of climate change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Climate education mentioned, limited detail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>General discussion of climate education, some detail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Specific climate education targets set</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Education in Climate Policy

- All 13 provincial and territorial jurisdictions included education as an important response to climate change but only 6 (or 46%) had specific climate education targets.
- The overall focus of educational strategies for climate change action was on improving school energy efficiency.

Climate Change in Education Policy

- References to climate change were shallow and scarce.
- Only 46% of the education policies mentioned climate change.
- Only 2 of 13 jurisdictions had specific objectives related to climate change. Both focused on improving school energy efficiency.
- The most detailed discussions of climate change were within sustainability-focused curriculum resources and subject-specific curriculum guides.
- The Northern Territories’ climate plans included a strong focus on Indigenous knowledge.

CEES Scores

- Only British Columbia and Manitoba received CEES scores of 3/3 for both climate policies and education policies; this was due to the inclusion of energy efficiency objectives in both cases.
### Thematic Analysis: How is Education being Taken Up in Provincial and Territorial Climate Policy?

SEPN conducted a thematic analysis of climate policies to see how they referred to the educational sector in relation to climate change. Climate policies (1) included both formal and non-formal educational strategies; (2) identified a wide range of potential audiences including students, consumers, and drivers; and (3) had a wide range of thematic foci including energy efficiency and job training. Most educational strategies in climate plans were adult-oriented although some of the strategies in the climate policies did relate to K-12 education.

SEPN identified seven education-related themes: (1) curriculum reform (8/13 policies); (2) the role of post-secondary education in conducting research, fostering innovation, and providing basic instruction (7 policies); (3) infrastructure upgrades to reduce emissions (6 policies); (4) the role of Technical and Vocational Education and Training as a form of education (6 policies); (5) consumer education regarding energy use (5 policies); (6) integration of Indigenous knowledge into climate education (5 policies); and (7) the role of driver education (4 policies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education-Related Theme</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>SK</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>QU</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NL</th>
<th>YU</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>NU</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum reform</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of post-secondary education</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient school infrastructure</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical / Vocational education</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer education</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous knowledge integration</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver education</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Take Action

Both climate policies and educational policies at the provincial and territorial levels need to better engage with climate change education for Canadian students to be informed and adaptable in responding to climate change.

**Recommendation #1: Ministries of education must improve climate change responses within educational policy**

- **Challenge:** While climate policies often referenced the significance of the education sector in combating climate change, education policies have not taken up the challenge. SEPN found shallow engagement with climate change in education policy and, in particular, curriculum guidelines were lacking.
- **Action:** Policy makers within the education system must align their educational policies with targets and strategies laid out in climate policy.

**Recommendation #2: Climate responses within the educational system should include whole school sustainability approaches**

- **Challenge:** SEPN found an overwhelming focus on school energy efficiency in climate policies.
- **Action:** Responses to climate change need to go beyond emissions. Whole school sustainability approaches include sustainability within governance, curriculum, research, and community engagement, in addition to operations.
Climate Change and the Canadian Higher Education System: An Institutional Policy Analysis

The Challenge: As climate change becomes an increasingly pressing concern, higher education institutions must play a role in developing solutions. To address a gap in existing understandings of how institutions are responding to climate change in policy, the Sustainability and Education Policy Network (SEPN) examined climate change policies from a sample of 50 Canadian institutions.

Main Findings: SEPN found that 44% of the institutions had a climate-related policy. Existing climate policies focused disproportionately on operations, missing opportunities to address climate change in governance, curriculum, research, and community outreach.

Take Action: Policy-makers, administrators, staff, and students working to improve institutional responses to climate change should develop Climate Action Plans, Sustainability Plans, and Strategic Plans that incorporate sustainability into all core areas of institutional activity. Specific policy and practice examples are provided below.


How are Post-secondary Institutions in Canada Engaging with Climate Change in Policy?

SEPN analyzed the content of climate change policies, sustainability policies, and strategic plans from a representative sample of 50 Canadian universities, colleges, and collèges d’enseignement général et professionnel (CEGEPs) in five domains pertaining to sustainability: (1) governance, (2) curriculum, (3) operations, (4) research, and (5) community outreach.

Climate Change in Institutional Policies: By The Numbers

A total of 22 institutions (44%) had climate-specific policies. Of those, 11 were official climate change policies (typically called Climate Action Plans) and 11 addressed climate change via broader energy consumption or emissions plans. A total of 63% of the institutions with a climate-focused policy were members of the Association for the Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education (AASHE).

Forty institutions (80%) had a Sustainability Plan or policy. While 26 of the Sustainability Plans mentioned emissions or climate change, only 3 plans (7.5%) explicitly discussed climate change as being a catalyst for institutional sustainability action.

Only 15 institutions (30%) used the term “climate change” in their Strategic Plans.

Leveraging Campus Infrastructure: A Disproportionate Focus on Operations

- Climate-specific policies most often discussed climate change in relation to campus operations, frequently via improving efficiency in transportation and infrastructure, including a focus on lowering costs through efficiencies.
  - This focus on operations was seen in all policy documents, but was most evident in Climate Action Plans and emissions policies.
  - Climate policies’ most frequently referenced words were “energy” and “building.”

- In governance, 30% of the institutions referred to climate change in their Strategic Plans.

- Educational programming typically focused on changing the energy consumption behaviours of staff and students, not on increasing climate change- or sustainability-focused curriculum offerings.

- Research was not a major theme in climate policy documents.

- A lack of collaborative community outreach was seen across policy documents. When discussed, it typically involved one-way knowledge transfer to off-campus communities.
Policy and Practice Examples from Canadian Higher Education Institutions

Despite the considerable challenges of cultural inertia and political resistance, a number of institutions in Canada have developed more sophisticated policies that go beyond reducing carbon consumption and emissions and engage more holistically with climate change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Sustainability Approaches</th>
<th>Policy Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>• Sign sustainability declarations such as Talloires &amp; Halifax Declarations</td>
<td>Queen’s University (2010) Sustainability Strategic Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Develop planning bodies to assess emissions and consumption</td>
<td>Red River College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Create Sustainability Policy and/or Climate Action Plan</td>
<td>Royal Roads University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrate sustainability in Strategic Plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Inventory climate change-related courses, programs, and research</td>
<td>Annual Progress Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Develop climate action courses and immersive experiences</td>
<td>University of Saskatchewan (2012) Climate Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrate climate change into existing curriculum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>• Encourage research focused on natural sciences or technological solutions</td>
<td>University of Calgary (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Foster social sciences and humanities research to address social, cultural, and political solutions</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Develop interdisciplinary research units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Outreach</td>
<td>• Disseminate best practices and research findings to stakeholders</td>
<td>University of Saskatchewan (2012) Climate Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Partner with stakeholders outside of higher education institutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>• Retrofit existing buildings with more efficient technologies &amp; incorporate sustainable design in new construction (e.g., LEED)</td>
<td>University of British Columbia (2006) Sustainability Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Improve transportation systems</td>
<td>Dalhousie University (2010) Climate Change Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Identify GHG emission sources</td>
<td>Simon Fraser University (2011) Carbon Neutral Action Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Conduct feasibility studies &amp; demonstration projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Procure renewable and sustainable energy sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Take Action

Climate change is a complex phenomenon that requires holistic responses if we are to overcome the significant challenges it presents. Higher education institutions seeking to address climate change in their policies should:

Recommendation #1: Create a Climate Change Policy

× Challenge: SEPN found that while a number of institutions across Canada were broadly addressing climate change at a policy level, there remains significant capacity for improvement.

✓ Action: As many institutions in the study did not have policies to respond to climate change, SEPN’s research suggests many institutions will need to develop responses to climate change via Climate Action Plans, Sustainability Plans, and Strategic Plans.

Recommendation #2: Move Beyond Operations: Adopt a Whole Institution Sustainability Approach

× Challenge: The institutions in SEPN’s sample that did have climate change plans exhibited underdeveloped responses and climate change responses were particularly lacking in research and curriculum focus.

✓ Action: Policy-makers, administrators, staff, and students working to improve climate action in their post-secondary institutions should integrate sustainability across all aspects of institutional activity—governance, curriculum, research, community outreach, and operations—so that sustainability becomes a core property of institutional activity.

Educational institutions are a critical venue for teaching and motivating students to understand and act on sustainability issues. In Canada, provincial or territorial ministries of education, working with local school divisions, are responsible for the organization, delivery, and assessment of K-12 education. To date, there has been little study of the inclusion of sustainability in education across Canada at the ministry of education or school division levels. To address this gap, the Sustainability and Education Policy Network (SEPN) conducted a nation-wide census to examine sustainability uptake in the policies of all 13 provincial and territorial ministries of education and all 374 K-12 school divisions (which also includes school districts and boards) across Canada.

**Ministry of Education Policies**

Provincial and territorial ministries of education engage with sustainability issues through sustainability-specific policies and general curriculum frameworks across the domains of governance, curriculum, operations, research, and community outreach. In total seven provincial ministries had sustainability-specific high-level documents in one or more domains.

**Governance:** Four provinces had sustainability-specific overall governance documents. In Manitoba, British Columbia, and Québec, these were the result of a government-wide mandate to address sustainable development. In Manitoba, for example, all school divisions fall under *The Sustainable Development Act* and therefore must adhere to sustainability guidelines mandated by the provincial government, with the support of the Ministry of Education.

**Curriculum:** Five provinces had one or more sustainability-specific documents focused on curriculum. While not using the terms sustainability” or “environment,” the Northwest Territories and Nunavut each had curriculum guides based on traditional Inuit knowledge, which included strong themes of cultural and environmental sustainability.

**Operations:** Three provinces had sustainability-specific operations documents: British Columbia, Manitoba, and Ontario.

**Research:** Three provinces had sustainability-specific research reports: Manitoba, Ontario, and the Yukon. These were produced in partnership with working groups, committees, or institutes.

**Community Outreach:** Only Manitoba had sustainability-specific documents intended as community outreach. The documents were intended to provide parents with information about grade level themes and outcomes related to sustainable development.

**Curriculum Frameworks**

All provinces except British Columbia had overarching frameworks that guide learning throughout elementary and secondary education, which also include sustainability components. These frameworks are not ‘sustainability-specific’ in focus; rather, they provide information on the prioritization of sustainability in relation to broader graduation outcomes and competencies.

**Sustainability in Ministry of Education Policy Documents and Curriculum Frameworks by Province**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Governance</th>
<th>Sustainability-Specific Policy Documents</th>
<th>Curriculum</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Research</th>
<th>Outreach</th>
<th>Sustainability in Curriculum Frameworks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alberta (AB)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia (BC)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba (MB)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Provinces*</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Territories (NT)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunavut (NU)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario (ON)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec (QC)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan (SK)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon (YT)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New Brunswick (NB), Newfoundland (NL), Nova Scotia (NS), and Prince Edward Island (PE)
School Division Policies

Operations Policies: 177 policies focused on operations, suggesting Canadian school divisions were utilizing a dominant approach to education as an instrument to realize environmental and sustainability objectives, rather than pedagogical aims. Almost a half of all operations policies focused on either waste (81 policies) or energy (77 policies). 22 policies focused on climate change.

Terminology in Policy Titles: ‘Environment’ was the dominant language used in school division policy titles across the country. The exceptions were Manitoba, where ‘sustainable development’ was more commonly used, and Québec, where ‘développement durable’ (‘sustainable development’) was most common.

Temporal Trends: Sustainability-specific school division policy dates followed a distinct temporal trend, with few policy dates before 2006, a rapid increase until 2010, and a slow decline to the present. Only 79 policies were dated between 1978 and 2005. 187 policies were dated between 2006 and 2014.

Sustainability Initiatives

SEPN calculated average sustainability initiative (SI) scores for all school divisions in a province. Each school division received one point for having each of three sustainability initiatives (sustainability policy, eco-certification, and staff), with possible scores ranging from zero to three. Average provincial scores ranged from 1.7 in Nova Scotia to 0.0 in the Yukon and Nunavut.

Sustainability-Specific Policies: Of Canada’s 374 K-12 school divisions, 219 (59%) had policies with a focus on sustainability. Policy adoption varied across provinces, with Ontario having the highest (71 of 78, or 91% of school divisions), and Yukon and Nunavut having the lowest (no policies). Across school division policies, 177 (58%) were operations policies, 100 policies (33%) were related to governance, 94 (31%) related to curriculum, 17 (6%) related to community outreach, and none related to research.

Eco-Certification Programs: 160 (43%) of school divisions had undertaken a formal sustainability certification. The most common certification program was the Établissement vert Brundtland program, which was found in 66 school divisions in Québec (90% of the province’s school divisions). Three provinces have established their own eco-certification programs: Nova Scotia Green Schools, which was present in all of the province’s school divisions; Manitoba’s EcoGlobe program, which was used by schools in 19 (51%) of Manitoba’s school divisions; and Ontario EcoSchools, which was used by schools in 34 (44%) of Ontario’s school divisions.

Sustainability Staff: Only 25 (7%) of Canada’s 374 school divisions had sustainability staff. Larger school divisions were more likely to have sustainability staff. Having a sustainability staff member was weakly related to engaging in eco-certification programs and having a sustainability-specific policy.

Sustainability Initiatives in Canadian Pro vincial and Territorial School Divisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>SK</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>QC</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>NL</th>
<th>YT</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>NU</th>
<th>ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability Policy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eco-Certification Program</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability Staff</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI Score</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of School Divisions</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where Next?

SEPN’s findings suggest that while there has been a steady increase in uptake of sustainability in K-12 education over the past decade, more can be done both at the ministry of education and school division levels to further mobilize knowledge and solutions that enable change for a more sustainable future.
Environmental and Sustainability Education Policy Research in K-12
A Review of the Literature


This research brief summarizes a review conducted by the Sustainability and Education Policy Network (SEPN), which described the scope of policy research in sustainability education in the Kindergarten-Grade 12 system. SEPN’s review describes sustainability education policy research conducted to date in detail and provides a platform for broadening policy studies in sustainability education. Recommendations for addressing gaps in the research literature are also provided.

Systematic Review: By The Numbers
- 215 peer-reviewed, English language research articles
- Published from 1974-2013
- 71 different countries
- Articles focused on K-12 education policy studies, self-defined as sustainability- or environment-related
- 150 (or 70%) non-empirical articles and 65 empirical articles
- Survey data was the focus of the majority of empirical articles, though textual analysis, case study, and mixed methods studies were also identified
- Most non-empirical articles focused on national-level discussions and most reports discussed national policy developments

Temporal Trends Across Four Decades of Policy Research
Three distinct ‘spikes’ emerged in policy research output:
- Mid-1970s: the field of environmental education emerges
- Mid-2000s: the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development

Geographic Trends
The most frequently researched countries were the United States, United Kingdom, Australia, and China/Hong Kong; these countries were the focus of over half of all publications in the review. Fewer studies were from Africa, South and Central America, Eastern Europe, and most of North and West Asia.

Emergent Themes
SEPN analysed the publications through an inductive, iterative thematic coding process. The review identified four main themes:

Policy Drivers: Sustainability imperatives such as environmental or socio-cultural degradation, environmental disasters, and climate change were identified in the literature as key drivers of policy development. While uptake of a climate change focus in education policy research was found to be slow, climate change recently emerged as an increasing driver for sustainability education policy, with 50% of all reviewed articles published since 2010 referring to climate change as a driver of policy. A desire to align with international policy imperatives such as the Tbilisi and Rio Declarations also acted as an impetus for sustainability education policy development according to the articles reviewed. Further, international organizations, such as United Nations affiliates and the World Bank, were cited as spurring sustainability uptake in education policy.

Published: February 2017
Competing Paradigms: SEPN’s review found a research focus on variations and tensions in the terminology and understandings of sustainability mobilized in education policy. Several authors noted that the openness to interpretation of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) may result in ESD prioritizing economies and failing to challenge business as usual. The review also identified research discussing the tensions between conceptions of environment and nature, with some authors noting contradictory themes of human domination of nature and the promotion of harmonious interrelationships with nature.

Teaching and Learning Directives: Empirical articles in the review overwhelmingly focused on curriculum, teaching, and pedagogy in relation to policy. Many of the articles reviewed focused on state-level policies designed to infuse sustainability into curriculum as interdisciplinary competencies. However, the findings were generally pessimistic on the success of cross-curricular integration of sustainability. Conceptions of pedagogy, or how sustainability ought to be taught, emerged as a focus in the 1990s. The literature often described sustainability as being in tension with other policy priorities, such as a focus on testing and performance, as well as pressure to compete internationally via student achievement.

Marginalizations: Some research focused on which perspectives and knowledge are centered or marginalized in policy, and by what mechanisms. Grounds for marginalization discussed in the literature included a focus on cultural tensions; North-South divisions; and the privileging of policy makers and researchers over practitioners and cultural groups in decision-making, international meetings, and in the development of state-level policies and resources. Several authors noted sustainability education can contribute to colonization, prioritizing western concepts over more holistic, situated, traditional forms of education.

Key Research Gaps and Directions for Moving Forward

SEPN’s review identified several gaps and reinforced calls for future empirical research to engage more with sustainability education policy.

Critical Policy Theory and Methodology: A general inattention to broader developments in critical policy research remains apparent in the field. While the research reviewed initially focused primarily on surveys, more recent research has included case studies and multiple-methods. The empirical research reviewed typically focused on textual analysis or policy enactment and neglected the systematic examination of policy development and interactions within various aspects of the policy process. SEPN’s review proposed the adoption of a critical policy research approach, which understands policy processes as complex, with multiple actors influencing the identification, championing, and resisting of problems and solutions.

Engaging Research Users: Research that effectively influences policy outcomes often involves policymakers and practitioners from the outset. SEPN’s review identified a need for greater consideration within the literature to how policy research can inform policy. Critical policy research in the field could engage more with research fields that are more oriented toward policy development and solutions with generative political action; this would entail a shift from university-driven projects to projects that include policy “users” as co-researchers, as well as providing opportunities for mutual learning and multi-directional knowledge flows among co-researchers.

Intersectionality: Analyses of interactions between categories of marginalization in relation to policy (e.g., environment, race, gender, class, other forms of oppression) were largely absent from the reviewed articles. SEPN’s review discusses new ways of re-imaging policy research including incorporating intersectional, Indigenous, and materialist methodologies; land- and place-based frameworks; and extending conceptualizations of the policy cycle to include considerations of political strategy and outcomes.

Climate Change: SEPN found relatively low engagement with climate change among the reviewed articles. It appears sustainability education policy research is only just beginning to respond to climate change. Education systems will increasingly need to develop policies that address climate change adaptation and the emotional implications of loss of place for students and communities. The challenges of climate change will require education policy research to become more engaged, political, practical, and imaginative.

Key Terms and Definitions

Empirical Research: studies using quantitative and/or qualitative research methods.
Non-Empirical Research: all articles in which research methods were not defined by the authors, including discussions of national and international policy discourse and descriptive reports of regional and national projects/programmes with little to no analysis.
Policy: broadly conceptualised to extend beyond considerations of policy texts, to influences on policy development as well as on the enactment or practice of policy.
Sustainability Education: in this context, an umbrella term for environmental education, education for sustainable development (ESD), education for sustainability, and other forms of education concerned at least in part with land and environment.
Signing a sustainability declaration doesn’t always mean commitment to sustainability. A post-secondary institution’s sustainability practices are strongly influenced by its provincial context.

These are two key findings from a research study done by the Sustainability and Education Policy Network (SEPN) which assessed leadership on sustainability initiatives in Canadian post-secondary institutions. Engagement with sustainability is on the rise among post-secondary institutions, with many institutions developing policies and practices to further sustainability.

SEPN analyzed and scored all 220 accredited post-secondary institutions in Canada on their uptake of four high-level Sustainability Initiatives (SI):

1. Undertaking a sustainability assessment
2. Signing a national or international environmental or sustainability declaration
3. Having a sustainability office or officer
4. Having sustainability polices
An institution received one point for having a sustainability initiative in each category.

SI Leaders = SI score of 4.
Institutions with all four sustainability initiatives.

SI Laggards = SI Score of 0.
Institutions with no sustainability initiatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>SK</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>QC</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>NL</th>
<th>YT</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>NU</th>
<th>CA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaders</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laggards</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI score Avg</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Institutions</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(De) linking declarations
This research identifies strong linkages between the three sustainability initiatives of assessment, office(r), and policy, suggesting that the uptake of one might encourage the uptake of others. In contrast, there was a weak relationship between signing a declaration and undertaking other sustainability initiatives. Of the 99 institutions that signed a declaration since 1990, one third had not undertaken any other sustainability initiative. This suggests that institutions critically reflect on their purpose and intentions in signing a declaration, and if commitment to sustainability is a true objective, that they identify what additional sustainability initiatives will be taken after signing a declaration. As well, policy actors developing and championing sustainability declarations could consider what measures might be put in place to help signatories engage in other high-level sustainability initiatives after becoming declaration signatories. The existence of sustainability-specific policies was strongly related to province, with the majority of institutions in both Québec (85%) and British Columbia (67%) having sustainability policies. In contrast, only 14% institutions in New Brunswick and 13% in Saskatchewan had policies, and none of the three institutions in the territories had policies.
Do provincial political cultures influence sustainability in education?
This raises interesting questions about the role of provincial policies and cultures around sustainability. In particular, it was the higher engagement levels in BC and Québec’s smaller communities that resulted in those provinces having the highest average rates of sustainability initiatives. This means it is more than simply the characteristics of large urban centres that match up with sustainability issues, but that there also may be unique factors existing in smaller Québec and BC communities, or that they are part of a broader provincial culture that encourages sustainability initiatives. A potential example of the leadership role that provinces can play through provincial policy can be seen with Québec’s Cégep Vert program, which in turn played a significant role in influencing the uptake of sustainability initiatives at the institutional level. In the Québec education system, Cégeps are general and vocational colleges that offer two or three year programs bridging secondary school and university. Among all institution types (Universities, Colleges, and Cégeps), Cégeps had on average the highest sustainability initiative scores.

Shifting from ‘environment’ to ‘sustainability’
The study also identified a change in terminology used in policies over time, with the term ‘sustainable development’ decreasing slightly in use over time, with a more substantial drop in the number of policies using the terminology of ‘environment.’ Since 2005, there was a marked increase in the use of the term ‘sustainability’ in policy. Use of the term also increased as community population size increased, as well as being the term of choice in Ontario and the three Prairie provinces.

Making decisions on sustainability
Beyond the high-level leadership initiatives researched in this study, other important elements of sustainability uptake in post-secondary institutions can include active student sustainability groups, sustainability champions in specific units of institutions, and operational innovations. These were not analyzed in this study, but will be included in the next phase of research that will explore how sustainability is being advanced in education policy and practice through site analyses. SEPN has also developed an interactive mapping tool that enables viewers to move deeper into the research content via the SI scores of specific universities. Identifying these types of sustainability initiatives as well as the SI score rankings are important indicators for students and university leadership as they make decisions about enrollment, recruitment, and investment in further sustainability initiatives.
This research brief highlights analysis of the content of sustainability policies from a selection of 50 post-secondary institutions across Canada (colleges and universities). In the area of operations in particular, policies outline steps institutions are taking to further sustainability on their campuses. In contrast, the institutional domains of curriculum and research tend to lack implementation detail, such as plans and timelines, which can diminish the impact of the policies in furthering sustainability. Full results can be read in the academic paper: Vaughter, P., McKenzie, M., Lidstone, L., & Wright, T. (in press) “Campus Sustainability governance in Canada: A content analysis of post-secondary institutions’ sustainability policies.” *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*.

**Framing Sustainability**

**Definitions Move Away From ‘Environment’:** Of the 59 sustainability policies and plans reviewed (hereafter referred to as ‘policies’), 43 include definitions of sustainability or related terminology, with a clear preference for the three pillar definition (a focus on the natural environment, society, and economy), or the Brundtland definition (sustainable development that “meets the needs of the present without compromising the needs of future generations”) versus environment-specific ones. This seemed reflect a broader movement away from the language of environment and towards the language of sustainable development and sustainability over the past several decades.

**Emphasis on Importance to Humanity:** 16 out of 40 institutions indicated that sustainability is a responsibility of their institution to the world at large. Only three institutions (and all of these francophone institutions from Québec) framed this responsibility as an imperative because natural environments and/or ecosystems have intrinsic value in and of themselves. The majority of the institutions in the sample took a more anthropocentric approach, indicating that the natural environment is necessary for humanity and did not frame humanity as part of the natural environment or nature as having value in and of itself.

**Implementing Sustainability**

In many institutions, the policy focus was on operationalization in the ‘environmental’ sphere, such as in relation to energy use, waste reduction, and other physical infrastructure issues which fall under operations. While policies often link these issues to cost-savings, the central focus is on environmental inputs and outputs rather than on the associated social or economic health of the institution or region. There was very little detail in the domains of education, research, and community outreach on how policies to further sustainability should be implemented.

**Governance:** Within the examined sustainability policies and plans, the majority of institutions (32 out of 40) tied furthering sustainability to their institutional mission, vision, traditions, and/or overarching purpose for existing. 14 institutions describe themselves as leaders in sustainability in their policies, either in their local community, within higher education, or more broadly. Eight policies linked sustainability to the traditional, historic, and/or cultural identify of the institution.

**Education (curriculum):** Six institutions specifically discuss the development of curricula on environment and/or sustainability in their sustainability policies. However, the mandates typical offer little detail in terms of guidelines or timelines. Twelve institutions discussed the role of co-curricular activities in sustainability, such as student organizations, residential organizations, and other bodies.
Research: Policies included few specifics as to what counts as ‘sustainability research’ or how such research will be identified and supported. There was a focus on increasing institutional leadership and reputation for sustainability research, yet few examples were given of specific research projects on sustainability. The sustainability-related strategic research priorities identified from the policies also tended to fall within more scientific or applied social science areas.

Community Outreach: Groups discussed in the sustainability policies included the ‘general public’ or the ‘campus community.’ City and provincial governments were frequently discussed as stakeholders in institutions’ sustainability policies, as was the business community. Aboriginal communities were referenced in the sustainability policies of three institutions. While students, staff, faculty, and administrators were all discussed as targets for sustainability outreach, students were occasionally framed as being ‘responsible’ for sustainability at an institution, while faculty and staff were given this obligation less frequently.

Campus operations and facilities: The majority of the content of sustainability policies was focused specifically on operations and facilities, outlining steps that institutions are taking to further sustainability on their campuses.

Waste: 28 of the 40 institutions with policies included a discussion of waste, in particular waste reduction.

Energy: 28 of the institutions addressed energy consumption either in terms of conserving energy (reducing usage/increasing efficiency) or converting to alternative energy (e.g., hydro, solar, wind). Those which approached this topic via conservation (25) generally focused on energy efficiency in campus building operations.

Transportation: 25 institutions referred to transportation in their sustainability policies, with a central focus on encouraging less carbon-intensive means of travel, such as providing incentives for car-pooling; initiating mass transit passes for students, staff, and faculty; and designing more biking and walking paths to and from campuses. Institutions tended to focus on increasing efficiency in transportation rather than decreasing total number of commuters. There was little focus on transportation emissions in relation to the lack of student housing on campuses and the resulting number of student commuters.

It is also useful to consider the flip side of sustainability and identify how some institutions’ activities may be furthering ‘unsustainability.’ For example, student and faculty groups at a number of institutions in Canada have recently called for policies on fossil fuel divestment in order to help address, rather than to contribute to, climate change.

Need for more details in what constitutes ‘sustainability research.’

Without greater attention, there is a worry that parallels the broader concerns around three pillar approaches to sustainability, that researchers or institutions may think they are ‘doing sustainability research’ if they address any one of economic, social, or environmental considerations. Based on our analysis, we suggest a need to further address the institutional domain of ‘research’ by adding more specifics and ‘teeth’ in what is considered ‘sustainability research’ in sustainability policies and assessments. This also links to community outreach, as research partnerships with community partners (business, Aboriginal communities, community organizations, etc.) may determine the most significant impacts institutions have in relation to the (environmental) sustainability of off-campus communities.
Strategic Planning for Sustainability in Canadian Higher Education


Strategic plans help higher education institutions (HEIs) envision and communicate their organizational goals and the actions needed to achieve those goals. In Canada, a decentralized education system provides HEIs with a great deal of autonomy in defining strategic directions, including in relation to sustainability. However, to date, there has been little empirical research on the connections between strategic planning and sustainability in higher education (SHE). To address this gap, the Sustainability and Education Policy Network (SEPN) examined the connections between strategic planning and sustainability uptake in the strategic plans of a sample of 50 Canadian HEIs. SEPN’s research has implications for the role of institutional strategic plans in long term planning for SHE and will be of interest to policy makers and those working in sustainability in higher education.

### Content Analysis of Strategic Plans

- SEPN conducted a content analysis of 50 HEI’s strategic plans to examine the depth and breath with which sustainability was included as a significant policy priority, including across five sustainability domains: (1) governance, (2) education, (3) campus operations, (4) research, and (5) community outreach.

- Each HEI’s strategic plan was classified according to type of **institutional sustainability response**, using an adapted version of Sterling’s 2013 framework.

### Findings

**Type of Response**: A total of nine strategic plans (21%) did not include any discussion of sustainability.

**Accommodative responses** were the most common institutional response, seen in 20 (49%) of 41 strategic plans, indicating limited engagement with sustainability in the sample. Some HEIs made only brief references to one or two sustainability domains in their plans (these institutions typically had no sustainability policy), while other institutions had in-depth discussions in relation to institutional sustainability goals and an accompanying sustainability policy but concentrated on only one or two sustainability domains.

**Reformative responses** were least frequent, found in only eight (20%) strategic plans. These plans most commonly addressed sustainability in only three domains, but often related sustainability to core institutional values and/or sustainability goals in great depth. All reformative responses were seen in institutions which also had a sustainability policy.

**Progressive responses** were seen in 13 (32%) of the strategic plans analyzed. Progressive responses typically took one of two forms. Eight plans in this category addressed four or five sustainability domains and included significant sustainability content in relation to the institution’s core values and goals. The remaining five plans addressed all sustainability domains and engaged in meaningful discussions of sustainability in relation to values, goals, and sustainability plans. However, progressive responses did not engage institutions in the types of transformative redesign processes that lead to systemic institutional change. All progressive responders had a sustainability policy.

None of the plans exhibited **transformative responses**, such as reorienting educational purposes and paradigms to correspond with sustainability values, and consideration of Indigenous land and worldviews. A lack of engagement with **community outreach**—in particular acknowledgements of the histories of settlement, land, and territory in the regions which HEIs are located—was evident in all strategic plans, regardless of the type of response.
**Sustainability Initiatives:** Drawing on the results of SEPN’s previous Canada-wide census of sustainability policy initiatives which categorized sustainability initiative (SI) leaders as having all four types of initiative (assessment, declaration, policy, office), and laggards as having none of these initiatives, SEPN examined the relationship between type of response and SI leadership. SEPN found SI leaders engaged more strongly with sustainability in strategic planning: only 7 of the 20 (35%) accommodative responders were SI leaders, whereas 4 of 8 (50%) reformative and 10 of 13 (77%) progressive responders were SI leaders.

**AASHE Membership:** Institutions affiliated with the Association for the Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education (AASHE) were more likely to exhibit reformative or progressive sustainability responses in their strategic plans. Only 4 of 20 (20%) accommodative responders were AASHE members whereas 5 of the 8 (63%) reformative responders and 10 of the 13 (77%) progressive responders were AASHE members, suggesting institutional membership to AASHE may be a significant factor in progressive engagement with sustainability at the strategic planning level.

**Strategic Planning for Sustainability in Higher Education: Key Findings**

SEPN’s findings point to the need for stronger engagement with sustainability at the strategic planning level in the Canadian higher education sector. The shift to transformative sustainability responses requires HEIs to re-conceptualize the purpose of higher education and re-think existing educational paradigms in relation to land, place, ecology, and community.

**Institutional Accountability:** SEPN found weak language related to sustainability and a lack of specific sustainability goals, particularly in accommodative and reformative plans. Policy makers and those working in sustainability at institutions in the accommodative and reformative stages of sustainability uptake may consider adopting more integrative, holistic, and concrete policy targets at the strategic planning level. Clearly articulated institutional sustainability goals and targets are more easily monitored by accountability mechanisms, which may improve sustainability uptake.

**Overcoming Barriers:** Institutions working to adopt more integrative sustainability innovations are likely to encounter resistance. Previous research suggests barriers to sustainability engagement in HEIs include: (1) complex and shifting governance structures, particularly as HEIs move increasingly towards corporate governance models, with increased centralization of decision-making and less democratic engagement; (2) the high degree of academic autonomy afforded to faculties and departments in Canadian HEIs, which means units may not engage with sustainability unless it fits into existing identities, teaching, and research objectives; and (3) faculty being deterred by perceptions that a sustainability focus equates to teaching a particular set of values.

**Shifting Educational Paradigms:** The need for stronger engagement with sustainability in strategic planning was particularly evident in the large number of institutions with no references to sustainability in their strategic plans, a predominance of accommodative responses, and a lack of transformative responses. Even strategic plans with substantial sustainability content in SEPN’s research did not include the types of paradigm-shifting visions characteristic of transformative responses to SHE. Sustainability actors, particularly those in HEIs with accommodative responses, could be working across multiple spheres of policymaking and pushing for higher quality sustainability content in strategic plans. The shift to transformative sustainability responses requires HEIs to re-think existing educational paradigms and re-conceptualize the purpose of higher education and support the transition to more sustainable societies.

---

**SHIFTING EDUCATIONAL PARADIGMS**
- Specific, concrete sustainability goals
- AASHE assessment processes
- Integrated, holistic targets
- Improved monitoring capabilities
- Re-conceptualizing purposes of education
- Integration of Indigenous perspectives

**OVERCOMING BARRIERS**
- Complex, corporatized governance structures
- High faculty and departmental autonomy
- Fit with identity, teaching, research priorities
- Politicization of sustainability values

---

**AASHE MEMBER RESPONSE TYPES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Type</th>
<th>Accommodative</th>
<th>Reformative</th>
<th>Progressive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**SHIFTS in AASHE MEMBERSHIP**

- 20% Accommodative
- 63% Reformative
- 77% Progressive

---

**Institutional Accountability**

- Weak language on sustainability
- Lack of specific sustainability goals

---

**Overcoming Barriers**

- Complex, corporatized governance structures
- High faculty and departmental autonomy
- Fit with identity, teaching, research priorities

---

**Partnership Organizations:**
- [Partnership Organization 1](url)
- [Partnership Organization 2](url)

---

**Contributing Organizations:**
- [Contributing Organization 1](url)
- [Contributing Organization 2](url)

---

**Research. Connect. Mobilize.**

Learn more about us at www.sepn.ca
Divestment from fossil fuels has recently become a hot topic, with $3.4 trillion already divested globally by concerned educational institutions, governments, and faith-based organizations. Educational institutions are the fourth largest sector divesting from fossil fuels (Figure 1). This research brief is from the paper “The State of Fossil Fuel Divestment in Canadian Post-secondary Institutions” by Naomi Maina, PhD Researcher, and is one component of a broader SEPN study evaluating sustainability uptake in Canadian formal education. The full paper can be found at www.sepn.ca.

Post-secondary institutions have a significant amount of their endowment funds invested in fossil fuel companies, creating close and complex ties with the fossil fuel industry. The higher education divestment movement advocates that endowment funds be invested responsibly in areas that promote cleaner futures for current students and future generations.

In Canada, there are currently 37 active divestment groups in college campuses spread across nine provinces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Active Divestment Campaigns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Québec</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Students Leading Divestment Campaigns in Canadian Post-secondary Institutions

In many of the 37 active divestment campaigns in Canadian institutions, leadership has primarily been by students, with support gained from other university and community affiliates. At Simon Fraser University for example, the student-led group Sustainable SFU initiated the divestment campaign ‘SFU350’ as one of their projects, and has acquired support from SFU Student Society, Graduate Student Society, the Alumni Association, and various departments on campus. In other institutions such as University of British Columbia, University of New Brunswick, and Lambton College of Applied Arts and Technology, individual students and alumni have come together to initiate campaigns. At Dalhousie University, McMaster University, and McGill University, campaigns began as joint efforts of students, alumni, faculty, and community members.

In April 2016, the University of Ottawa became the first Canadian university to commit to full divestment. However, the timeline for when the divestment will occur has not been defined, and the divestment group, fossil free Ottawa is continuing to push its institution to set a clear timeline. Also, in November 2014, exactly two years after the inception of the student-led divestment campaign, Concordia University became the first university in Canada to agree to partially divest $5 million of its endowment from fossil fuels (“Concordia University becomes first,” 2014, n.p.). While this decision may be seen as an important win for the movement, the divestment group at Concordia University, Divest Concordia has termed this decision “a flat-out rejection” of calls to full divestment. Their argument is that if this decision is hailed as a win, other universities may follow suit, undermining the long-term commitment to distancing with fossil fuel companies through partial divestment (“Divest Concordia denounces,” 2014, n.p.).

The divestment campaign has also seen smaller victories. The Students’ Society of McGill University voted to divest their endowment funds, followed by the Dalhousie Student Union, which also agreed to divest their $2.5 million. Student referendums to endorse divestment...
have also passed at eleven universities (listed below), and these endorsements have increased credibility and momentum of the divestment movement to keep pushing the administration to rethink their investment policies. Other actions have included campus protests, signing of petitions, rallies, climate action workshops, open letters signed by students, faculty, alumni and community members calling for action.

Out of the 37 post-secondary institutions with divestment campaigns, two campuses have made a decision agreeing to full and/or partial divestment, 12 campuses have had successful votes from students in support of divestment, and five campuses have had successful votes from faculty members. Table 1 shows Canadian post-secondary institutions where divestment campaigns are underway, including the amount of money currently invested fossil fuels. (Note: Blank cells indicate that the information was not publicly available.)

There seems to be a disconnect between publicly declared sustainability initiatives on various campuses, and actual investment practices. While some campuses have positioned themselves as sustainability leaders, they are still heavily invested in fossil fuel companies (University of British Columbia, 2014).

### Table of Canadian post-secondary education endowment funds, divestment votes, and decisions from board of governors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Institution</th>
<th>Total Endowment</th>
<th>Amount invested in fossil fuels</th>
<th>Student Vote</th>
<th>Faculty Vote</th>
<th>Board of Governors Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Toronto</td>
<td>1,500,000,000</td>
<td>32,400,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of British Columbia</td>
<td>1,100,000,000</td>
<td>120,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGill University</td>
<td>1,400,000,000</td>
<td>84,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen's University</td>
<td>658,000,000</td>
<td>29,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMaster University</td>
<td>655,000,000</td>
<td>47,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>In process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Calgary</td>
<td>710,000,000</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalhousie University</td>
<td>465,000,000</td>
<td>20,300,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Fraser University</td>
<td>367,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>In process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Victoria</td>
<td>365,000,000</td>
<td>21,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Guelph</td>
<td>270,000,000</td>
<td>40,500,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>In process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ottawa</td>
<td>193,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Agreed but no timeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of New Brunswick</td>
<td>198,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>In process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordia University</td>
<td>136,000,000</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Partial divestment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Allison University</td>
<td>140,000,000</td>
<td>25,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>In process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Winnipeg</td>
<td>57,500,000</td>
<td>2,580,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>In process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trent University</td>
<td>41,000,000</td>
<td>34,000,000</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>In process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Moving Canadian Post-secondary Institutions Towards Divestment

The fossil fuel divestment movement is framed as an ethical issue, invoking the social responsibility of post-secondary institutions and other organizations. Yet there is some reticence within the Canadian context to consider a future with reduced fossil fuels. The economy is heavily fueled by the fossil fuel industry, including many institutions of higher learning which receive significant funds from the fossil fuel industry. Developing campaign strategies that are sensitive to this unique context is critical to the success of the divestment and the larger climate action movement.
Sustainability and neoliberalism are mobile concepts and processes that when twinned, undermine the way environmental sustainability is being developed and implemented in education policy and practice. This is the central point in the SEPN research paper: McKenzie, M., Bieler, A., & McNeil, R. (in press) “Education policy mobility: Reimagining sustainability in neoliberal times.” Environmental Education Research.

**Sustainability:** in this context, engagement with issues of the natural environment in some capacity, including in relation to social, economic, culture, health, and other factors. While we are concerned with the various ways sustainability terminology is engaged, we have limited the scope to those cases which include some reference and consideration of environment.

**Neoliberalism:** political economic practices emphasizing individual entrepreneurial freedoms and skills within an institutional framework characterized by strong private property rights, free markets, and free trade. (Harvey, 2005, p.2)

**Sustainability as a vehicular idea**
Sustainability is a ‘vehicular idea.’ It is a flexible and vague concept which can be absorbed and used in different, even opposing, contexts. Cynically, it can serve to propel or greenwash economic interests; optimistically, it can allow for coalition building.

**Mobility in policy studies**
Sustainability, as a vehicular idea, is mobile and is increasingly being taken up in different ways across various contexts. Mobility approaches in policy studies focus on the movement of objects, people, and ideas around the globe. Rather than having a clear centre point or origin, policy is a product of its surroundings, influenced by ideas that are both situated and mobile, producing variations developed in response to different policy contexts and relationships. Mobility approaches to policy development are critical of the policy transfer-diffusion approach which focuses on policies as discrete objects which can be ‘transferred’ in whole to other locations and networks. Rather, mobility approaches emphasize the movement of policies as bits and pieces, which are also transformed through that process of movement and translation.

From this perspective, policy actors respond simultaneously to multiple policymaking networks, and to the tensions and contradictions that come along with these policy domains. They are part of a growing cadre of cosmopolitan policy advocates, aided by new communication technologies, who shape and move policies that are responsive to specific policy networks, think tanks, and media landscapes, and exert political influence through networks and the creation of new networks. Policy mobility is a useful frame for understanding sustainability as a vehicular idea in relation to processes of neoliberalization.

**Neoliberalization of sustainability in education policy**
Neoliberalism is likewise a vehicular concept travelling globally, taking specific forms in different locales, rather than presenting one single form of “neoliberalism.” It can be described and analyzed as a process in relation to particular sites and situations, rather than discussing “neoliberalism” in sweeping catch-all ways.

Over the last several decades, policy development and practice have been increasingly influenced by the penetration of neoliberal processes into public spaces and bodies. Neoliberalization reframes educational institutions as competitive and commodified entities, and applies private sector management practices in this public sector. Campuses, teaching, and research priorities become commodified and privatized, amplifying relations of competition and an overall growing emphasis on measurable outputs. Neoliberalization filters not only how education is conceptualized and shaped through policy, but also how sustainability in education is understood and addressed.

Sustainability in education is deeply susceptible to being framed in exclusively economic terms, which closes down possibilities that are more just and environmentally sustainable than what neoliberalism has to offer. Claims of a sustainability focus are increasingly a selling
point in attracting students, faculty, and funders. In the worst case scenario of institutional greenwashing, sustainability policies and related high level initiatives such as signing of declarations, act as ‘sustainability fixes’ giving the appearance of taking steps towards protecting the environment while a higher prioritization remains given to the institution’s economic considerations.

**Researching sustainability in education policy of Canadian post-secondary institutions**

SEPN’s initial analysis suggests that increasing numbers of post-secondary institutions in Canada are developing sustainability-related policies. How language is used to discuss sustainability in education policy, and how its meaning shifts over time, are indicators of how sustainability is a vehicular concept with increasing popularity, potentially brought on by its links to neoliberalism.

There have been shifts in language around environmental sustainability over the past several decades. In SEPN’s research study of Canada’s 220 post-secondary institutions, 69 out of 110 institutions with sustainability policies included definitions of their terminology, from higher uses of the term ‘environment’ to increasing uses of ‘sustainable development’ and now most recently, ‘sustainability,’ which is the current most frequently used term.

Almost a third of the policies included a definition of sustainability which included a focus on the natural environment, society, and economy, or what is often called a ‘three pillars’ definition of sustainability. However, within the policies reviewed, there was no mention of any hierarchy or prioritization of these three elements.

The vagueness of a sustainability definition without explicit prioritization runs the risk of enabling sustainability as a vehicular idea that functions as both a floating signifier through its ambiguity (anything can be ‘greenwashed’ while business continues as usual), as well as enabling sustainability to be ‘fixed’ in certain ways (i.e., giving priority to a particular pillar).

For this reason, it is important to look at how sustainability is understood in education policies, and whether priorities of neoliberalization are embedded within how sustainability is conceptualized and practiced. Segmenting the three pillars can thus insulate the economy pillar from those of social and environmental sustainability, enabling a form of neoliberal sustainability.